Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/910,054	TOMIKAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) Marjorie A. Moran.	(3)	
(2) <u>Darleen Stockley</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 December 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112, 2 nd para., enablement		
Claims discussed: all pending		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
·		
Ma Sown		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. Stockley was informed that the after-final amendment of 11/28/05 would not be entered as it introduces new issues, specifically under 35 USC 112, 2nd para. The examiner stated that the proposed amendment would overcome the new matter rejection. There was a brief discussion about the limitation with regard to "satisfying a condition", but no agreement was reached.